81 Safety of sacubitril/valsartan in the elderly population with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: a real-life observational study

Antonella Vincenzi¹, Matteo Casati², Antonio Cirò³, Alessandra Annaloro², Federico Rea², Francesco Arace⁴, and Maddalena Lettino¹ ¹Cardiology, San Gerardo Hospital, Monza, Italy, ²Internal Medicine, University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy, ³Cardiology, Vimercate Hospital, Brianza, Italy, and ⁴Department of Statistic, University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy

Aims: Prevalence of heart failure (HF) increases with age, but the elderly population is underrepresented in trials and the only data on the efficacy and safety of sacubi-tril/valsartan (S/V) in this group provided by the subanalysis of the PARADIGM trial, which confirms a favourable benefit-risk profile in all age groups. Information in a real-world setting is still lacking. Our study aims to evaluate the safety profile of S/V, in terms of risk of symptomatic hypotension, renal failure, and hyperkalaemia, in a group of real-life patients (pts) > 75 years old (yo) presenting with HF and reduced Ejection Fraction (HFrEF).

Methods and results: 59 patients on S/V therapy older than 75 years (average age 78.9 ± 2.6) were identified out of a total number of 148 patients with HFrEF (39.8%), followed at our Heart Failure Clinic from December 2016 to April 2019. They were included in our retrospective observational cohort study. We compared their baseline characteristics (cardiovascular risk factors, mean serum creatinine, atrial fibrillation, EF) with the PARADIGM subgroup (1563pts, 18.6%) (average age: 79.1 ± 3.5) At time 0 (T0), and at 6 (T1), 12 (T2), and 18 (T3) months we assessed their serum creatinine, potassium and Nt-proBNP levels, blood pressure (BP), heart rate, NYHA class, eGFR (with MDRD), and the occurrence of death, hospital admissions for HF, symptomatic hypotension and angioedema. For the statistical analysis we used a marginal model with an unstructured covariance structure. Compared to the PARADIGM subgroup our study population showed a higher prevalence of AF (64.4% vs. 50.7%, P 0.039), a worse baseline creatinine (1.46 mg/dl vs. 1.22 mg/dl, P < 0.001) and eGFR (51.5 vs. 57.5 ml/min/1.73 m², P 0.005) (Figure 1). During the 18 months follow-up there was no significant increase in serum creatinine (P 0.092) and potassium (P 0.799). There was however a significant decrease in eGFR (from 51.46 to 45.36; P 0.015) and systolic BP (from 123.6 to 116.4 mmHg; P 0.021) and a higher rate of treatment discountination for symptomatic hypotension (5.1% vs. 1.8%, P 0.082) (Figure 2).

Conclusions: The present study confirmed the safety of S/V already shown in the PARADIGM trial, despite the worse baseline clinical characteristics of our elderly population. Our population had no significance increase in serum creatinine and potassium, similarly to the trial subgroup. Nevertheless there was a trend towards a higher rate of symptomatic hypotension leading more frequently to treatment discontinuation. Whether a more personalized implementation of S/V therapy in the ageing HF population (minimum starting dose, slower uptitration) would determine a higher tolerability of the drug should be specifically addressed by focused, prospective clinical trials.

| | San Gerardo Hospital (n = 59) | Paradigm (n = 1563) | p value 0,664 0,141 | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Age, mean (SD) | 78,9 (2,6) | 79,1 (3,5) | | |
| Men, n (%) | 48 (82.4) | 1136 (72.7) | | |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 48 (81,4) | 1254 (80,2) | 0,831 | |
| DM, n (%) | 17 (28.8) | 536 (34,3) | 0,383 | |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%) | 38 (64,4) | 793 (50,7) | 0.039 | |
| 58P, mean (50) | 124 (19) | 125 (16) | 0,640 | |
| DBP, mean (SD) | 72 (11) | 72 (10) | 1,000 | |
| HR, mean (SD) | 67 (11) | 71 (11) | 0,006 | |
| Creatinine, mean (SD) | 1,46 (0,39) | 1,22 (0,32) | <0,001 | |
| GFR, mean (SD) | 51,5 (16,7) | 57,5 (16,0) | 0,005 | |
| Ejection fraction, mean (50) | 27,6 (5.9) | 30,9 (5,8) | +0,001 | |

| Baseline | characteristics |
|----------|-----------------|
| | |

| | 10 | 73 | 12 | 13 | pvalue | tet |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------|----------|
| N | 59 | 55 | -49 | 42 | | |
| SBP (mmHg), mean (SD) | 123,6 (18,9) | 118,6 (18,8) | 118,1 (14,9) | 116,4 (14,8) | 0,021 | |
| DBP (mmHg), mean (SD) | 71,6 (10,9) | 70,5 (8,9) | 70,6 (8,3) | 68,3 (7,2) | 0,094 | |
| All (bpm), mean (SO) | 86,9 (10,0) | 68,1 (12,2) | 67,9 (9,6) | 68,8 (11,2) | 0,779 | |
| Creatinine (mg/dt), mean (SD) | 1,46 (0,39) | 1,48 (0,44) | 1,67 (2,18) | 1,64(0,50) | 0,092 | |
| Estimated GFR (mL/min/1,72m ³), mean (50) | 51,46 (16,45) | 51,87 (19,17) | 47,94 (15,36) | 45,56 (16,33) | 0,015 | |
| Potessium (mt.g/t.), mean (50) | 4,59 (0,48) | 4,54 (0,395 | 4,62 (0,40) | 4,62 (0,51) | 0,799 | |
| Syntomatic hypotension, n (%) | | 12 (22,2) | 3 (6.1) | 7 (36,7) | | |
| Leading to discontinuation, # (%) | | 2 (3,6) | 1 (2,0) | 0 (0) | | 3 (5,1) |
| Death, e (%) | | 2 (3,6) | 3 (6,1) | 2 (4,8) | | 7 (11,9) |
| Sacubitril (mg/day) | 78,3 + 24,02 | 118,5 x 49,6 | 120,0 + 51,6 | 121,0 + 53,6 | | |
| | Re | sults | | | | |